

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/624,191	07/24/2000	Mark Donner	06975-100001	6404
	26171	7590 04/07/2004		EXAMINER	
		HARDSON P.C.		EL CHANTI, I	IUSSEIN A
	1425 K STREET, N.W. 11TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3500		2157	1/0
				DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	$l \otimes$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

•							
>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	(1)			
•		09/624,191	DONNER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hussein A El-chanti	2157				
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 BX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio- te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- tely received by the Office later than three months after the mail at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a resply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON to, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communicatio ANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	n.			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	January 2004.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	•				
-	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		S				
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)	 Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application	on Papers						
10) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examination in the drawing (s) filed on is/are: a) and a complete any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correction or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	nts have been received. Ints have been received in A Piority documents have been Peau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/624,191 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

Response to Amendment

This action is responsive to communication received on Jan. 16, 2004. Claims12,
 and 19 has been amended. Claims 1-20 are pending examination.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters reference characters "110" and "210" have both been used to designate host system; reference characters "135" and "235" have both been used to designate host device; reference characters "140" and "240" have both been used to designate host controller; and reference characters "150" and "255" have both been used to designate communication pathways. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 6, 7 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Peterson et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,594,682 (referred to hereafter as Peterson).

Application/Control Number: 09/624,191

Art Unit: 2157

As per claims 1, 12 and 17, Peterson teaches a method and computer program alerting a client of a state of change at a remote sever comprising:

creating a user profile indicating preference to receive at least one alert corresponding to a change in state at the remote server (see col. 10 lines 17-24); connecting to communications system including the remote server (see col. 4 lines 17-27);

generating an address of the remote server (see col. 4 lines 40-46);
navigating to the address of the remote server (see col. 4 lines 46-52);
retrieving data corresponding to a particular type of alert from the remote serve
(see col. 4 lines 46-52); and

using the retrieved data in delivering an alert to the client (see col. 4 lines 17-27).

As to claim 3, Peterson teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the address comprises a uniform resource locator (see col. 4 lines 41-46).

As per claims 6, Peterson teaches the method of claim 1 wherein using a graphical user interface to create the user profile (see col. 10 lines 9-32).

As per claims 7, Peterson teaches the method of claim 1 wherein storing the user profile on a host (see fig. 8 and its corresponding illustration)

As to claim 11, Peterson teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the alert corresponds to a change at a remote third party server (see col. 10 lines 10-24).

As to claim 13, Peterson teaches the computer readable medium claim 12 comprising a disc (see fig. 1 and 2 and corresponding illustration).

Application/Control Number: 09/624,191 Page 4

Art Unit: 2157

As to claim 14, Peterson teaches the computer readable medium claim 12 comprising a client device (see fig. 1 and 2 and corresponding illustration).

As to claim 15, Peterson teaches the computer readable medium claim 12 comprising a host device (see fig. 1 and 2 and corresponding illustration).

As to claim 16, Peterson teaches the computer readable medium claim 12 comprising a propagated signal (see fig. 1 and 2 and corresponding illustration).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson in view of Wick, U.S. Patent No. 6,691,162.

As to claim 8, Peterson teaches alerting a client of a state of change at a remote sever comprising creating a user profile indicating preference to receive at least one alert corresponding to a change in state at the remote server (see the rejection of claim 1).

Peterson does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation "instant messaging system". However Wick teaches a method of communicating notification between a server and a client using an instant messaging system (col. 4 lines 6-18).

It would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Peterson by incorporating an instant messaging system as Application/Control Number: 09/624,191 Page 5

Art Unit: 2157

taught by Wick because doing so would allow the user to be notified of updates or changes on a server instantaneously and therefore retrieve changes in the fastest communication method possible.

As to claim 4, Peterson teaches the method of claim 1 wherein navigating to the address includes using a browser (see col. 10 lines 17-24).

Peterson does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation "instant messaging system". However Wick teaches a method of communicating notification between a server and a client using an instant messaging system (col. 4 lines 6-18).

It would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Peterson by incorporating an instant messaging system as taught by Wick because doing so would allow the user to be notified of updates or changes on a server instantaneously and therefore retrieve changes in the fastest communication method possible.

As to claim 5, Peterson teaches the method of claim 4 wherein the browser comprises a HTTP engine (see col. 6 lines 37-52).

5. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson in view of Payne et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,021,433 (referred to hereafter as Payne).

As to claim 8, Peterson teaches alerting a client of a state of change at a remote sever comprising creating a user profile indicating preference to receive at least one alert corresponding to a change in state at the remote server (see the rejection of claim 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/624,191

Art Unit: 2157

Peterson does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation "remote mail server".

Payne teaches communication system using a server where the server could be a mail server (see col. 7 lines 57-col. 8 lines 5).

It would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement a mail server in Peterson as taught by Payne because doing so would allow the user to check for updates using an e-mail server rather than accessing a webpage and therefore decreasing access to a remote server which might result in overload at a remote server.

As to claim 9, Peterson teaches a change at a remote weather server (see col. 7 lines 57-col. 8 lines 5).

As to claim 10, Peterson teaches a change at a remote stock server (see col. 7 lines 57-col. 8 lines 5).

- **6.** Claims 18-20 do not teach or define any additional limitation over claims 1-17 and therefore are rejected for similar reasons.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Method And Apparatus Providing Notification Of Network Conditions by Hodges et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,449,365.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hussein A El-chanti whose telephone number is (703)305-4652. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/624,191

Art Unit: 2157

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (703)308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hussein El-chanti

April 2, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100